

# Cycle Decompositions

Prop: Let  $X \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  be finite. For all  $\sigma \in S_X$  there are unique  $[\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_k \in S_X]$  so that  $\forall i [\sigma_i(x) \neq x \Rightarrow \forall_{j \neq i} [\sigma_j(x) = x]]$

① The  $\sigma_i$ 's are pairwise disjoint cycles, and

② For all  $1 \leq i \leq k-1$  we have

$$\min \{x \in X : \sigma_i(x) \neq x\} < \min \{x \in X : \sigma_{i+1}(x) \neq x\}.$$

$\hookrightarrow \sigma_i$ 's are ordered by increasing minimum vertex element.

Lem: If  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  are disjoint cycles in  $S_X$ , then  $\sigma \circ \tau = \tau \circ \sigma$ .

$\hookrightarrow$  Proved already in lecture.

pf of Proposition: We proceed by induction on  $|X| = n$  to prove the existence of  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_k$ .

Base Case: If  $n=0$ , then  $X = \emptyset$ .

$$\therefore \sigma \in S_X = S_\emptyset = \{\varepsilon\} \mapsto [\sigma = \varepsilon = \text{empty product!}]$$

Inductive Step: Suppose the statement holds for all

$Y \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  provided  $|Y| < n$ . Let  $X = [\min \{y \in X : \sigma(y) \neq y\}]$  (NB: if  $X$  DNE, then  $\sigma = \varepsilon = \text{empty product}$ )

Define  $T = \{x, \sigma(x), \sigma^2(x), \dots, \sigma^m(x), \sigma^{m+1}(x) = x\}$ . Justify!

Observe  $\sigma|_T$  is a cycle (possibly trivial) in  $S_T$ . (Hint:  $\sigma$  is bij. +  $X$  is finite)

$$\rightarrow \sigma|_T = (x \ \sigma(x) \ \sigma^2(x) \ \dots \ \sigma^m(x)) \quad \sigma|_T : T \rightarrow T \quad \sigma(\sigma^j(x)) = \sigma^{j+1}(x)$$

$$\text{Let } \underline{\sigma}_1(y) = \begin{cases} \sigma|_T(y) & \text{if } y \in T \\ y & \text{o/w} \end{cases}$$

This  $\sigma_1$  is a cycle in  $S_X$ .



Consider  $\sigma|_{X \setminus T}$ . Note  $\sigma|_{X \setminus T}$  is bijective.

Justify!

$\therefore \sigma|_{X \setminus T} \in \Sigma_{X \setminus T}$  and  $|X \setminus T| < |X| = n$  b/c  $x \in T \neq \emptyset$ .

$\therefore$  By induction  $\left[ \sigma|_{X \setminus T} = \bar{\sigma}_1 \bar{\sigma}_2 \dots \bar{\sigma}_{k-1} \right]$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$

$\rightarrow$  with  $\min \{ y \in X \setminus T : \bar{\sigma}_i(y) \neq y \} < \min \{ y \in X \setminus T : \bar{\sigma}_{i+1}(y) \neq y \}$

Define  $\left[ \bar{\sigma}_{i+1}(y) = \begin{cases} \bar{\sigma}_i(y) & \text{if } y \notin T \\ y & \text{if } y \in T \end{cases} \right]$   $\forall 1 \leq i \leq k-1$

Now ... (A) The  $\bar{\sigma}_i$ 's are all bijective, (B) the  $\bar{\sigma}_i$ 's are pairwise disjoint, and  $\bar{\sigma}_i$  disjoint from  $\bar{\sigma}_{i+1}$  trivially

$\rightarrow$  (C)  $\min \{ y \in X : \bar{\sigma}_i(y) \neq y \} < \min \{ y \in X : \bar{\sigma}_{i+1}(y) \neq y \}$

true of  $\bar{\sigma}_2, \dots, \bar{\sigma}_k$  by the same for  $\bar{\sigma}_i$ , and true for  $\bar{\sigma}_1$  and  $\bar{\sigma}_2$  b/c  $x = \min \{ y \in X : \sigma(y) \neq y \}$

Left To you:  $\sigma = \bar{\sigma}_1 \bar{\sigma}_2 \dots \bar{\sigma}_k$

$\therefore$  we have shown existence.

For uniqueness, suppose  $\sigma = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_k = \beta_1 \beta_2 \dots \beta_m$  both satisfy the conditions. Note that

$$x_0 = \min \{ x : \sigma(x) \neq x \} = \min \{ x : \alpha_1(x) \neq x \} = \min \{ x : \beta_1(x) \neq x \}$$

$$\therefore \left[ \alpha_1^p(x_0) = \sigma^p(x_0) = \beta_1^p(x_0) \right] \forall p \in \mathbb{N}$$

Justify w/ "disjoint cycles cannot"

As  $\alpha_1, \beta_1$  are cycles, we see

$$\left[ \begin{aligned} \alpha_1 &= (x_0 \ \alpha_1(x_0) \ \alpha_1^2(x_0) \ \dots \ \alpha_1^j(x_0)) \\ &= (x_0 \ \beta_1(x_0) \ \beta_1^2(x_0) \ \dots \ \beta_1^j(x_0)) = \beta_1 \end{aligned} \right]$$

$$\alpha_1^{-1} \sigma = \alpha_1^{-1} \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_k$$

Repeat on  $\left[ \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \dots \alpha_k = \alpha_1^{-1} \sigma = \beta_1^{-1} \sigma = \beta_2 \beta_3 \dots \beta_m \right]$  to obtain  $\alpha_2 = \beta_2$ . Repeating as necessary yields (WLOG  $k \leq m$ )

$$\left[ \beta_{k+1} \beta_{k+2} \dots \beta_m \right] = (\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_k)^{-1} \sigma = (\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_k)^{-1} (\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_k) = \epsilon$$

empty product!  $\therefore$  uniqueness  $\smile$